61124			
O' Was	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	į.	AGEE ET AL.	_
\ <b>\\</b>	09/878,789	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	2616	
	Blanche Wong	2010	idress
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sh	SEL MIRI THE COLLEGE OF THE TANK	
Period for Reply	OF TO EVOID	= 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (	30) DAYS,
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state and the period for reply will. Set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum part of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, od will apply and will expire SIX	(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
Status			
AND Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 3 July 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ 1	his action is non-final.	and to to	he merits is
2a) [ 11115 action is 1117 (2)	wance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to t	no mome .s
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation solution for allocation is in condition for allocation is in condition.	er Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
•	·		
Disposition of Claims	4	nlication	
4) Claim(s) 1-16,18-41,44-179 and 182-187	s/are pending in the ap	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considerat	OH.	
5) Claim(s) 113 and 114 is/are allowed.	00 4 105 197 is/are	rejected	
- 57 OLIVE (A) 4 47 101 102 105 108 110.115-1	83 and 185-107 Israic	viected to	
- 57 Olaim/o) 49 100 103 104 106 10/.109.11	1, 1 12 and 104 locate of	,	
7) Claim(s) 46-700,703,701,703,701 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirem	jent.	
Application Papers	minor		•
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	numer.	objected to by the Examir	ner.
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2001 is/ar	e. a) accepted of a	n abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 Jurie 2007 Israil  Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be field	drawing(s) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country in the country	he Examiner. Note the	allactied Office Action 5	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for it	noigh phone, and a	•	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been rece	ived.	
Certified copies of the priority docu     Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been rece	ived in Application No	. <del>.</del>
2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	o priority documente ha	eve been received in this Nati	onal Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents in	(a))	-
application from the International E	outedu (FOT Nuie 17.2	opies not received.	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified of	eller eigen state in vig gro	
			,
Attachment(s)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	n
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<i>⋾</i> <u></u>	Notice of Informal Patent Applicatio Other:	••
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	——————————————————————————————————————		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./I	Mail Date 20061005

# George S. Cole, Esq. 650-322-7760 (TEL); 650-322-6117 (FAX)

495 Seaport Court, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063

Commissioner For Patents

Attn: Examiner Blanche Wong, Art Group 2616

. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jan. 12<sup>th</sup>, 2007

Re: Application 09/878,789 Agee et al.

#### Dear Examiner Wong:

Enclosed is the copy of your First Office Action of 10/09/06 for the above-numbered patent application, and the Response thereto, including specifically the Claims Listing. Many thanks for informing me that, due to the overlap between the interview and the issuance of that Office Action, an Examiner's Amendment was not feasible and that I needed to file this Response.

I hope that this Response, and these claims, will now be approved. If you want the MS Word files of either or both of the Specification and Claims Listing, or anything else to assist you in your task, please let me know and I will be glad to send such by email.

As you know, we had a very significant and fruitful telephonic interview (I spoke with both you and your supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu). At the end of that process, on Oct. 28<sup>th</sup>, 2006 I sent an informal copy of the claims text that we had mutually resolved and that you and he had indicated were ready for approval.

In preparing this response, I made changes to that text, detailed as follows.

The first set of changes were made to conform with PTO requirements stated in the Office Action:

- First, the missing words "spatially diverse" were added in the seventh line (Line 9) of Claim 1, to solve the problem of the missing antecedent, putting the text of Claim 1 in conformity with that in the other independent Claims 2, 41, 101, 108, 113, 185;
- The acronym "SINR" was spelled out in Claim 3 (it first appears there, not in Claim 2);
- The parentheses in Claim 32 were removed;
- The misspelling of "heterogenous" was corrected in Claim 38;
- The duplicated line "A wireless electronic communications network" was removed in Claim 101;

and,

• A colon replaced the comma after the word "comprising in Claim 185.

I then found the additional typographical errors, which are specifically listed also on Page 11 of the Response:

GSC/ms

- The lack of a missing space in "diversity capability" was corrected in Claim 41;
- The repetition of the word "wherein" was eliminated in Claim 59;
- The lack of a missing space in "Amethod" was corrected in Claim 72;
- The misspelling of "futher" for "further" was corrected in Claim 109; and,
- In each of Claims 150 and 151, the misspelling of "reliability" for "reliability" and the extra period at the end of a sentence were both corrected.

I fervently hope that these changes – and in fact, this Response – are seen as a sincere effort to meet our joint goal and to present only an application and claims that are, truly, in as close and accurate a condition, ready for issuance, as I can provide.

Sincerely Yours:

reldible

## **EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE**

"Express Mail" mailing labo	l No: EQ 338677770 US			
Express Mail maining lave	EQ 3380////0 US			
Date of Deposit:	Jan. 12th, 2007			
I hereby certify that the following documents:				
• Cov	er letter to Examiner;			
• Cop	y of Office Action;			
<ul> <li>Response to Office Action with</li> </ul>				
	Petition for Extension of Time;			
	Deposit Account Authorization; and,			
	Duplicate of Account Authorization.			
• Chec	ck for extension of time;			
• Clair	ms Listing (changes marked);			
and,				
• 2 001	ov of this Express Mail Cartificate:			

are being deposited in a single envelope with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and such envelope is addressed to:

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Attn: Examiner Blanche Wong, Art Unit 2616 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

George S. Cole, Esq.

Signature of person mailing documents)

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:		
	□ BLACK BORDERS	
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
•	☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
	LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
	☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.